Insulated Panels New Zealand

## Not All Insulation is the Same Fire Performance of Insulated Panels

New Zealand, July 2023 edition



### Introduction

Kingspan Insulated Panels has been supplying fire resistant PIR insulated panels worldwide for more than 40 years. We have a fire testing philosophy that allows us to have a high level of certainty that our PIR panels will perform as expected in real-life fire events - a safety aspect that sets us apart from the rest.

Fire resistant PIR insulated panels is Kingspan's core business. Life safety and building protection is important to us, because of this we believe we are conservative with performance claims as there is no place for optimism in life safety.

Kingspan's insulated panels use Kingspan's own unique high performance PIR (polyisocyanurate) core. The panels have been tested as they would typically be installed; generally this means utilising Kingspan's standard details.

When dealing with fire it is important that every product is installed in accordance with the methods and details utilised to 'pass the test'.

#### Why choose an Insurer Approved Panel?

Over the last two decades the New Zealand market has become increasingly aware of the potential fire risk using Expanded Polystyrene (EPS) panels in buildings. A number of companies who have previously used EPS panels and experienced extensive damage from fire have moved to PIR panels for all their cold storage facilities.

Along with extensive proof of Kingspan's panel performance in real life fire situations, Kingspan have subjected their panels to numerous test regimes for both local regulatory purposes and large scale tests performed by Factory Mutual (FM) Global for the insurance industry.

A number of Kingspan insulated panels have achieved Class 1 without height restriction, the highest possible rating achievable in the FM Global 4880 test, making them 'insurer approved' panels.

EPS in panels tends to promote fire spread resulting in extensive fires and frequently total losses because the EPS vaporises, catches fire and then can promote the fire spread to the rest of the building. EPS panels are not 'approved panels'.

#### One of the most convincing arguments for the use of Kingspan insulated panels is the way they react to fire in real building fire situations.

Independently researched real fire case studies have shown the performance of Insurer Certified PIR panel systems. We have been building up a library of real fire case studies over the years including the following independent fire investigations by Tenos, a leading fire engineering consultancy with global reach, based in the UK.

#### **Overall Conclusions:**

- PIR cores charred in the immediate vicinity of fire.
- Fires were not propagated within the PIR core.
- PIR panels did not char significantly outside of the area of the main fire.
- No evidence to indicate that PIR panels increased the risk of fire spread.

#### Properties with EPS – lesson learned (extract from a claims example published by Zurich Australia Insurance)

In January 2010, a large fire occurred at the site of a major food processing factory, south of Melbourne. The fire started in a staging area for plastic packaging trays, and despite the area being attended and the presence of automatic smoke detection, the fire quickly spread to the EPS (expanded polystyrene) sandwich panel ceiling causing total loss.

An interesting footnote to this fire was the performance of approved PIR sandwich panels. A new extension to the existing EPS cold store had been constructed from PIR. The fire burnt up to the PIR wall but did not penetrate, the PIR section was left largely intact. This tends to confirm a number of insurer's and experts recommendations of approved alternative panels, be they PIR or Phenolic resin matrix.

### Fire Engineered PIR Panel Systems Fire Tests

### New Zealand Tests

TEST	Roof & Wall Panels KS1000RW	Architectural Wall Panels KS1000AWP	Coldstore Panels KS1100CS
Internal Spread of Flame ISO 9705	Group 2S - Standard Details	Group 2S - Standard Details	Group 2S - Standard Details
External Radiation – ISO 5660			
NZBC Acceptable Solutions C/AS1 Table 5.1 NZBC Acceptable Solutions C/AS2 Table C1.3	< 100kW/m² and < 25 MJ/m² Type A	< 100kW/m² and < 25 MJ/m² Type A	< 100kW/m² and < 25 MJ/m² Type A
FM Approvals Standard 4471 Roof Panel Systems	Class 1 (30, 40, 60, 70, 100 and 120 mm thicknesses only) (certified name: KS1000 RWFM)	_	_
FM Approvals Standard 4880 Internal Wall and Ceiling Panel Systems	Class 1 Without height restriction (40, 60, 70, 100 and 120 mm thicknesses only) (certified name: KS1000RW)	Class 1 Without height restriction (50, 80, 100 and 140 mm thicknesses and 1000 mm widths only) (certified name: KS1000AWP)	Class 1 Without height restriction (All thicknesses: 50, 75, 100, 125, 150 and 200 mm) (certified name: KS1100CS)
FM Approvals Standard 4881 Exterior Wall Systems	Class 1 (40, 60, 70, 100 and 120 mm thicknesses only) (certified name: KS1000RW)	Class 1 (50, 80 and 100 mm thicknesses and 1000 mm widths only) (certified name: KS1000AWP)	Class 1 (All thicknesses: 50, 75, 100, 125, 150 and 200 mm) (certified name: KS1100CS)

For detailed information, please refer to product-specific datasheets

With a comprehensive suite of products and experienced technical support Kingspan can provide a range of solutions to meet many project specific performance requirements. For individual product specification and performance details

For individual product specification and performance details please contact Kingspan.

#### International Tests

Following are some of the current international tests that Kingspan insulated panels have been exposed to and passed:

- Europe: EN 13501-1, particularly B-s1,d0. The 's1' rating, being the best (lowest) smoke rating.
- USA / Global: NFPA 285 façade testing.
- UK: BS 8414 Façade testing. BRE 135 (AWP 140mm only).
- Nordic countries SP Fire 105 façade testing.

**ISO 9705** The test below forms part of the assessment requirements to achieve a Group 2S rating, the highest possible rating achievable for Internal Spread of Flame.







Completion of test

FM 4880. The 50ft test shown below forms part of assessment requirements for approval to Class 1 without height restriction.







Test set up

Test set up

Fire development

End of test

# Real Fire Case Studies

The following case studies involve insurance industry approved Kingspan PIR panel systems.

The improved reaction to fire and fire resistance of QuadCore® Technology means that insulated panels incorporating QuadCore® Technology would be expected to provide equivalent or better performance in real fire situations with less smoke damage anticipated.

### Real Fire Case Studies

One of the most convincing arguments for the use of Kingspan Insurer Certified PIR core sandwich panels is the way they react to fire in real building fire situations.

Independently researched real fire case studies have proven the performance of Insurer Certified PIR panel systems across the world.

We have been building up a library of real fire case studies over

the years including, but not limited to, the following independent fire investigations by leading fire engineering consultancies and fire experts from around the world:

- Army Surplus Store, Netherlands;
- Wharfedale Hospital, UK;
- Spider Transport, Ireland;
- Crude Oil Pool Fire, Netherlands;
- Clifton Comprehensive School, UK;
- Food Preparation Facility, Heathrow Airport, UK;
- Suffolk Food Hall, UK;
- R A Wood Adhesives, UK;
- Furniture Retail Warehouse, Slovakia;
- Milk Powder Drying Tower, New Zealand;
- Poultry Processing Factory, Australia;
- Industrial Units, Netherlands;
- Audi Dealership, Belgium; and
- Undercroft Car Park, Northern Ireland.

Independently researched real fire case studies have proven the PIR panel systems in different applications including external arson attacks. We have published every single case study that we have had done on our panel systems with the exception of those that the client has asked to remain confidential. In every case, including the confidential studies, the PIR core panels have been found to have performed very well with no evidence of contribution to fire spread. For full reports, please contact the local Kingspan technical team.

#### **Overall Conclusions**

- PIR cores charred in the immediate vicinity of fire.
- Fires were not propagated within the PIR core.
- PIR panels did not char significantly outside of the area of the main fire.
- Dominant influence on fire severity was the contents of the building – fire severity not significantly influenced by the PIR panel.
- No evidence to indicate that PIR panels increased the risk of fire spread.

"Insulated panels incorporating QuadCore<sup>®</sup> Technology are expected to provide equivalent or better performance than PIR in real fire situations with less smoke damage anticipated."



### Real Fire Case Studies Army Surplus Store, Netherlands

A fire occurred at approximately 1am, Monday 18th April 2016, in an army surplus store located within a warehouse type building in Kootwijkerbroek in The Netherlands.

The warehouse is occupied by three businesses: the army surplus store, a metalworking / machine shop and a building materials supply warehouse. The three separate occupancies are separated by fire resistant walls.

The architectural wall panels that form the upper part of the external walls of the building are FM Approved 80mm thick KS1000 AWP wall panels with PIR insulation cores. The roof was constructed of a metal deck, polystyrene insulation and a bituminous membrane.

The fire in the army surplus store was extremely intense and lasted for over 4 hours. This was due, in part, to the storage of significant amounts of combustible materials in the building and the reported presence in appreciable quantities of accelerants such as cigarette lighter fluid and aerosol paint spray cans.



Aerial view of the damage showing structural collapse of the army surplus warehouse.



Panel at junction with internal compartment wall.



Panel at junction with steel stripped off demonstrating charring of PIR core but no evidence of fire spread.

- The severity of the fire was at least equivalent to a two-hour standard fire resistance test, which is the notional fire resistance performance of the 300mm limestone blockwork wall.
- The Kingspan PIR core wall panels bridged across the ends of the compartment wall between the building materials supply warehouse and the army surplus store and machine shop. Contrary to the architect's details, they had not been installed to provide fire resisting construction at the firewall/external wall locations.
- Notwithstanding this, the charring exhibited by the PIR insulation core to the panel at the point of intersection with the compartment wall indicated that a sufficiently stable char within the panel had formed to provide an effective fire stop and maintain the compartmentation within the building.
- The omission of a band of non-combustible material at the points of intersection with the compartment wall did not result in a break-down of fire compartmentation.
- The findings provide evidence that the PIR core of Kingspan FM approved KS1000 AWP panels can provide sufficient resistance to fire propagation and erosion such that they meet the intent of reported local regulations where KS1000 AWP panels bridge across fire compartment walls.

### **Real Fire Case Studies** Wharfedale Hospital, UK



A fire occurred at a hospital under construction during the summer of 2003. The building was steel framed with concrete floors. The first and second floors were clad with Kingspan PIR insulated panels.

At the date of the fire, the ground floor cladding had not yet been installed and the ground level was open sided.

It was thought that the fire was started deliberately by adhesive being poured over slabs of insulating material which were stored on the ground floor. Photograph 1 shows the fire area.

The fire was discovered by on-site security staff and a call was made to the fire service who brought the fire under control within 40 minutes.

The heat generated by the fire was significant, as evidenced by cracking of the concrete floor above the fire and the distortion of steel beams that had been protected by a fire resisting intumescent coating.

The fire service found light smoke but no fire spread on the upper floors of the building. They also reported that although the joint between the floor and first floor walls had not been fire stopped there was no fire spread within the PIR core material. Photograph 2 shows where the flame damaged outer skin of the bottom panel has been lifted to inspect the slight charring of PIR core beneath.

The main image above shows where the insulated cladding panels on the external face of the building had been attacked by flames.





Photograph 1

Photograph 2

#### Conclusions

In spite of a very severe fire at ground level (sufficient to damage the concrete floors and distort fire protected steel beams) the cores of the insulated panels:

- did not ignite; and
- did not promote fire spread.

### **Real Fire Case Studies** Spider Transport, Ireland

This fire took place in the early hours of the morning on 17th September 2008, outside the Spider Transport building which was used as a warehouse and distribution point, in Wicklow, Ireland.

The fire, which was caught on CCTV, was started maliciously by two people pouring a flammable liquid over the interior of a vehicle parked across the front of the building. Flames impinged on the building and there was an 'explosion' of debris from the sides and top of the vehicle causing a fireball and burning debris to be projected onto the cladding, as captured by the CCTV image (photograph 1).

The main image above shows the aftermath of the fire. The upper parts of the external wall consisted of Kingspan Trapezoidal KS1000 RW insulated panels, whilst the lower parts were constructed of blockwork.

Although the bottom of the insulating core of the Kingspan insulated panels was directly exposed to flame impingement above the up and over door, there was no delamination of the skins of the panels and the insulation remained in place.

Photograph 1 shows a CCTV image of the truck fire. Photograph 2 shows that the fire did not get into the building.





Photograph 1

Photograph 2

- The integrity of the Kingspan insulated panels was maintained, even immediately above the up and over door where the bottom of the insulating core was exposed to flame impingement and suffered severe charring.
- There were no signs of any spread of heat via the cores of the Kingspan insulated panels to any point within the building and no signs of spread within the cores of those panels.
- There is no indication that the Kingspan insulated panels contributed to the heat damage caused by the fire.



### Real Fire Case Studies Crude Oil Pool Fire, Netherlands



The facility at Arnhem in the Netherlands is used for the testing of equipment for the oil industry. On the 18th January 2013 a fire involving crude oil occurred in an external equipment testing area.

The test site was located adjacent to the main test building which was clad with Kingspan Insurer Certified PIR insulated wall panels up to a parapet wall which was constructed from polyurethane core panels.

The fire started at about 5.00pm and continued to burn intensely for about 10 minutes with the flame plume, during this period, ranging from 10m to 30m high. After this initial period the fire died down significantly to form a number of smaller separate pool fires. The available video information ends after about 18 minutes of burning; at which time only small pools of flaming remained.

There appears to have been little or no direct flame impingement on the external cladding of the building. However, the building would have been subject to high levels of radiant heat flux from the fire plume and this has been estimated to be of the order of 24kW/m<sup>2</sup>.



#### Conclusions

The intensity of radiation received by the panels caused some surface flaming but this ceased after approximately 30s (presumably after the surface coating had burned away). There was otherwise no evidence of self-sustaining flaming from the panel surface or at joints between panels.

As a result of the intensity of heat radiation the steel facing to the panels became rippled and delaminated from the foam core but there was only limited foam degradation at the core surface.

Despite the intensity of heat radiation being sufficient to cause ignition of the roofing system and being approximately double normal design values there was no evidence of any significant charring of the PIR panel cores or the promotion of fire spread via the panels.

### Real Fire Case Studies Clifton Comprehensive School, UK





Photograph 1

At the time of the fire, the construction of Clifton Comprehensive School in Rotherham had just been completed. A significant quantity of equipment (computers and laboratory equipment, etc.) had been installed, but the building was not yet in use by the school.

The roof of the building was constructed of Kingspan Insurer Certified PIR insulated roof panels.

Photograph 1 shows the area where the fire started, in an enclosed passageway linking two open air plant areas on the roof. There was scaffolding at the rear of the premises which gave access to the roof and the fire was thought to have been caused by the accidental or malicious ignition of roof sealant.

Photograph 1 also shows the empty drum thought to have contained the roof sealant, and holes made in the partition system by the fire service to check that the fire had been completely extinguished. The plastic and glass components of the fire alarm and light fittings had shattered / melted and although delamination of the inner skin of the insulated panels occurred, the core and outer skin remained undistorted. The deformation of the purlins immediately above the seat of the fire indicated that this was a very hot fire.

The classrooms were separated from the passageway by compartment walls. The fire did not spread to the classrooms and fire fighters observed only light smoke in some of these rooms.

There was no indication of any heat or smoke migration through the insulation of the roofing sheets and the fire service commented that the roofing panels did not contribute to the fire spread.

Photograph 2 shows the apex of the roof, with some discolouration in the area subject to direct flame attack, but no evidence of fire spread.



Photograph 2

- The Kingspan insulated roof panels did not contribute to the cause of the fire.
- The Kingspan insulated roof panels did not contribute to fire spread to any other area of the building and assisted in containing the fire.
- Had the roof been of a more traditional construction (e.g. tiles on timber battens with a felt membrane), the fire may have been severe enough to ignite the roof construction and cause the fire to spread over the compartment walls.

### **Real Fire Case Studies** Food Preparation Facility, UK

The building provides in-flight food preparation facilities for airlines operating out of Heathrow. The fire occurred in a corner of the first floor men's changing room which contained rows of steel lockers fitted with clear plastic doors.

The room construction comprised of a timber floor incorporating ply-web engineered joists supported off a steel frame. The walls consisted of Kingspan Insurer Certified PIR core panels. The ceiling above the room was of timber joist construction which was under-drawn with two layers of fire resisting plasterboard.

During their operations the fire service cut open the wall panels. This is standard practice to ensure that there is no continuing burning within the construction or voids. It was evident that where the fire service had opened up the panels there was only evidence of very limited charring of the PIR core with no suggestion of any fire propagation within the core material.



#### Conclusions

The fire that occurred in the locker room of the food preparation facility was confined to a relatively small area but generated a localised severity equivalent to over 30 minutes exposure in a standard fire resistance test.

The sections of the Kingspan wall panels that were subject to direct contact with the fire suffered surface distortion and superficial charring of the PIR core material. However, there was no evidence of fire propagation within the core material.

Whilst there was some fire spread beyond the room of fire origin this was via the void in the timber floor. The Kingspan panels appear to have provided an effective barrier to fire spread, i.e. there was no fire spread through the panels into adjacent areas.





### **Real Fire Case Studies** Suffolk Food Hall, UK

A fire took place in Suffolk Food Hall in 2010. The fire occurred at about 5am in electrical equipment, located in a plant mezzanine area directly below the roof, that was constructed from large section timber portal beams, supporting PIR cored insulated panels.

The fire spread along the plant mezzanine involving all exposed combustible materials and including the timber supporting structure of the roof. The fire impacted on the main roof structure where the 15mm depth of charring of timbers was equivalent to what would be expected in a standard fire resistance test at approximately 23 minutes duration and at which time the furnace temperature would be approximately 800°C.

On locating the area of the fire the attending fire service cut a hole through the roof construction directly above the fire and in the location of the damage shown in the above image to ventilate the area.

The images show the hole which was cut (which has been temporarily re-covered). The effect of the heat of the fire on the PIR core can be seen showing delamination of the exposed steel skin of the sandwich panel from the core, the formation of a carbon char layer and unaffected material at greater depth in the section which has been insulated from the fire.

Notably, the fire spread in the building was constrained to the mezzanine plant area and the combustible materials therein. Outside of this area, roof timbers were scorched, but not charred, indicating that temperatures were reduced to less than 450°C and PIR roof panels were not delaminated indicating clearly that the fire had not been propagated by the PIR core of the sandwich panel.

#### Extract from East Anglian Daily Times

Firefighter Geoff Pyke, who is group manager and Ipswich district commander, described the blaze as severe, but praised the insulation in the roof for the fire not being able to spread. "When we arrived the place was percolating smoke from all the openings on the roof. We tried to ventilate the building by opening all the apertures."

Firefighters were concerned the fire could ignite the foam insulation in the roof, which was tightly sandwiched between two sheets of metal. However, Mr Pyke said that although they had to rip into the sheets of metal from the top and bottom, the quality of the foam meant the heat had not caused it to ignite. Had it done so the roof would probably have been destroyed and the building significantly damaged. Mr Pyke added, "We can only assume the foam in the roof was of a fire retardant nature and withstood the fire."



A: Vent cut in roof by fire service. B: Vents cut in wall by fire service.



C: Temporary roof covering over hole in roof.

D: PIR core showing delaminated lower surface and extent of through-thickness charring.

E: Cut edge of lower steel skin of sandwich panel.



Insurer Approved PIR core sample showing extent of throughthickness charring at Suffolk Food Hall.

- The fire was sufficiently intense to have subjected the roof membrane and wall separating the plant area from the retail space to a level of exposure equivalent to approximately 20-25 minutes in a standard fire resistance test.
- Fire spread did not occur from the mezzanine plant area to the rest of the building.
- The PIR core material of the roof sandwich panels did not transmit fire from one side of the walls enclosing the plant area to the other.

### Real Fire Case Studies R A Wood Adhesives, UK



In 2009, a fire occurred at R A Wood Adhesives completely destroying the part of the building occupied by that business in Staffordshire.

The R A Wood Adhesives' facility was adjacent to another business where the two occupancies were separated by a compartment wall. The roof across both occupancies was constructed using Kingspan Insurer Certified PIR core panels.

The aftermath of the fire demonstrated that the fire compartment wall performed its intended function in preventing fire spread to the business next door, which was able to continue trading. In this case, the Insurer Certified PIR cored insulated panel insulation had been continuous over the top of the compartment wall.

An examination, carried out on the panel interface at the head of

the wall, showed that the PIR core had charred to form a stable and effective seal between the steel skins of the sandwich panel to prevent fire transmission to the protected side of the wall. It should be noted that UK design guidance now recognises that an alternative approach might be to use a panel system which has been shown in a large scale test to resist internal and external surface flaming and concealed burning.





- The fire was sufficiently intense to have subjected the party wall between the adjacent tenancies to a level of exposure equivalent to at least 60 minutes in a standard fire resistance test.
- The charring exhibited by the Kingspan Insurer Certified PIR core material indicated the formation of a sufficiently stable char within the panel to provide an effective fire stop between the steel skins of the cladding panels at the head of the compartment party wall.
- The findings of the site inspection provide evidence that the Insurer Certified PIR core of the Kingspan Trapezoidal KS1000 RW panel can provide sufficient resistance to fire propagation and erosion to such an extent that the functional requirement of the UK Building Regulations (Regulation B3) can be satisfied without providing a 300mm wide band of limited combustibility material to replace the PIR core where the panel passes over a compartment wall.

### **Real Fire Case Studies** Furniture Retail Warehouse, Slovakia

A large fire took place outside a furniture store in Presov, Slovakia – a large concrete framed, flat roofed retail building clad with Kingspan Insurer Certified PIR core wall panels. The building measures approximately 100 metres by 40 metres with a height to the roof parapet of approximately 8.5 metres.

The fire took place in a food cooking grill area located approximately 1.2m from an external wall. The fire involved the combustible contents of the grill and 5 propane gas cylinders – at the height of the blaze the flames were over 10m high and were impinging directly onto the surface of the panels.



#### Conclusions

The fire in the grill trailer subjected the external façade of the furniture store to an intense fire plume for a duration of approximately 10 minutes.

- The intensity of this fire plume was such that is was capable of melting the aluminium composite panel used for the store's mascot sign within this short fire exposure period.
- There is clear evidence that combustible materials used in the construction of the store's mascot sign and parapet perimeter lighting strip contributed to the intensity of this fire plume and would have been instrumental in the firefighters' initial opinion that the external wall construction was also burning.
- The Kingspan Insurer Certified PIR core material of the external wall panels charred to a depth of about 10mm in the area directly impacted by the fire plume and the external skin of the panels delaminated from the core in these areas.

- Despite the intensity of the fire plume, the Kingspan Insurer Certified PIR core did not propagate the fire within the panel construction to areas within the core remote from the area of direct fire plume impingement.
- After extinguishing the fire on the outside of the wall panels, fire-fighters found no evidence of smouldering or flaming combustion inside the wall panels.
- The effects of fire in the store were limited to minor smoke ingress at joints between Kingspan Insurer Certified PIR panels in the area of direct fire plume impingement. There was no spread of fire into the store. The effects were minor enough that the store was able to re-open about 3.5 hours after the fire.



### Real Fire Case Studies Milk Powder Drying Tower, New Zealand

Located on a business park, the milk processing facility houses a small spray drying dairy plant. The powder drying tower was constructed using an internal steel frame clad with Kingspan KS1000 AWP and KS1100 CS (FM approved) PIR insulated panels.

In April 2014, a fire occurred in the powder drying plant whilst the plant was processing infant formula milk powders.

On arrival of the first fire service appliances, it appeared that a major fire had engulfed the powder drying tower. A New Zealand Fire Service spokesperson said that the fire was notified as a third alarm with 20 appliances from the surrounding area responding to the blaze.

Findings concluded that a fire emanated in the region of the base of the milk powder drying cyclone and the fluid bed dryer. It is in this area, approximately mid-way up the tower, that there is extensive fire damage to the plant and structure and where the cladding had been exposed to direct flame impingement. Here the fire has penetrated into the PIR core causing the material to surface char.



Milk powder drying tower showing external fire damage to panels explosion doors opened manually after fire).



Panels exposed to fire internally.



Fire penetration into panel core, material charred but still in place, no void.



Window removed by Fire Service to ventilate the building to gain access – no fire penetration of core material.

#### Conclusions

The fire within the milk powder drying tower was extensive and took the Fire Service at least 40 minutes to control. In conclusion, it can be seen that the Kingspan PIR panels reacted as designed and contained the fire to the original area within the building.

- The panels did not contribute to fire spread and there was no spread of fire within the panels.
- No panels failed structurally or fell off. Some panel areas that were subjected to direct flames did deform and split away from the inner core but the fixings held the skins together.
- In the one area on the top floor where the fixing had been torn out of the panel the proprietary jointing system retained the panels.
- There was no spread of fire to adjacent buildings (within 10m there are several polystyrene insulated clad buildings).

## Real Fire Case Studies Poultry Processing Factory, Australia

A fire occurred at an Australian poultry processing premises, late on an afternoon in January 2010.

The area involved in the fire included the loading dock, finished product chiller, tunnelling chiller and plant room, all of which were contained within one building structure, approximately 10 metres in height and with 3,000m<sup>2</sup> floor area.

The walls and internal ceilings of the building were constructed from polystyrene (EPS) insulated panels, with Kingspan Insurer Certified PIR panels used to extend the building some years later as the plant volumes expanded. The roof and higher parts of the external walls above the ceiling level were constructed of sheet metal cladding material.

The fire started at one end of the building in a storage area, and quickly spread through the building (photograph 1). The core material (EPS) in the wall panels has been destroyed by the fire, and the remaining panel steel faces have collapsed.

The deformation of structural steelwork indicates significant heat was generated, probably due to the fuel load in the adjoining storeroom and the polystyrene panels, resulting in high flame temperatures. The fire quickly spread throughout the ceiling section of the chiller area until the fire reached the Kingspan panels, which effectively stopped the fire from spreading any further. Photograph 2 shows some of the debris from the fire, including collapsed EPS walls and ceilings. The former ceiling level is evident from the line of steel support cables which were used to hold the EPS ceiling panels, which collapsed in the fire.

Photograph 3 shows a control room which still remains standing – built at the end of the building where the fire started, using Kingspan Insurer Certified PIR panels.

#### Conclusions

In spite of a very severe fire at ground level (sufficient to damage the concrete floors and distort fire protected steel beams) the cores of the insulated panels:

- The Kingspan Insurer Certified PIR insulated panels suffered only minor damage from heat.
- The heat created by the fire in adjacent non-Kingspan EPS panels resulted in significant heat being generated, which caused distortion of steel structural building framework, and melting of plastic pipes and fittings.
- The Kingspan panels did not contribute to the fire in any way, and provided firewall type shielding to a significant portion of the building to stop spread of the fire, and protect specialised processing facilities from damage.



Photograph 1



Photograph 2



Photograph 3

### Real Fire Case Studies Industrial Units, Heining, Netherlands



The site is on an industrial state outside of Amsterdam and all the buildings involved in the fire were used by businesses carrying out automotive works and storing vehicles with associated equipment, parts and consumable materials.

The buildings of interest are the building clad with Kingspan KS1000 AWP FM approved PIR core panels (A) and the building immediately adjacent which was destroyed by the fire (B). The former building measures approximately 31m long by 14m wide, with height of 4.5m to eaves and 6.5m to the ridge of its pitched roof. The latter building which was destroyed by the fire measured approximately 37m long by 16m wide and was about 4.5m high to its eaves.

The adjacent building B that was destroyed by the fire appeared to be constructed using single skin profiled sheet cladding on a steel portal frame structure. The owner of this building explained that it contained a number of vehicles, tyres, equipment and fuels, including a high value racing car and associated spares and equipment towards the western end of the building. These spares included magnesium race wheels and tyres. As a security measure, two Transit type vans were parked externally along the south facing elevation of the building across the roller shutter door providing access to this part of the building.

- The fire in building B would have subjected the external façade of building A to levels of radiative heat flux sufficient to cause delamination of the PIR panels and charring of the PIR core.
- The level of fire damage actually sustained by the PIR core panels on building A indicates that the actions taken by firefighters to cool the external façade of building A using water jets had a significant effect in reducing the temperatures achieved by the exposed surfaces of the PIR panels.
- The behaviour of the PIR wall panels in this fire was commensurate with that observed in previous fire case studies.



No heat transfer damage to interior of adjacent property (building A).



## Real Fire Case Studies Audi Dealership, Belgium

The fire occurred in the external compound of a large Audi dealership in Belgium in October 2014. It was a deliberate act of arson.

The building is of steel frame construction clad with 100mm thick Kingspan KS1000 AWP FM approved PIR core sandwich panels and provides single storey showroom and workshop accommodation and an internal mezzanine floor for additional vehicles and back of house accommodation.

Photograph 1 shows the aftermath of the fire and is a photograph taken (by others) shortly after the fire event. The car in the foreground is understood to be an Audi Q3 with other cars being of at least a similar make and model.

Photograph 2 shows a sample of the PIR core material removed from the PIR core panel at the location of predicted peak incident radiative heat flux of 31.8kW/m2. The photograph indicates that the PIR core had pyrolysed to a carbon char to a depth of about 40mm at this location. At locations remote from the area of peak incident radiative heat flux, the charring of the PIR core was significantly reduced, demonstrating that combustion had not been propagated by the PIR core material.

The inside of the workshop showed no evidence of fire penetration in an area adjacent to the external fire attack.

- The PIR cored sandwich panels were subject to a fire likely to have lasted at least 15 minutes from ignition.
- It is likely that the cladding will have been subjected to peak incident radiative heat flux of at least 31.8kW/m2 for a period of at least 10 minutes.
- The sandwich panels exposed to these conditions sustained damage in terms of delamination of the exposed steel skin of the panels away from the PIR core, removal of the paint coating and pyrolysis of the PIR core material to a depth of approximately 40mm.
- There was no evidence of fire propagation within the panels.



Photograph 1



Photograph 2



No evidence of fire penetration to interior of the workshop



### Real Fire Case Studies Undercroft Car Park, Newry, Northern Ireland



Around 7.30am on 28th August 2014, an engine bay fire in a parked car occurred in a large ground level undercroft car park below the first floor retail level of a large supermarket.

The main image shows the front elevation of the building from the main road and the corner of the building that was closest to the fire location.

The overall footprint area of the building is approximately 11,500m2 with the ground level undercroft car park occupying a slightly smaller footprint of approximately 11,200m2 due to the ground level entrance foyer at the front of the building, which forms part of the same compartment as the sales area above. The majority of the car park possesses a flat soffit at 3.14m above floor level that has been created by the installation of 125mm thick Kingspan KS1100 CS FM approved PIR core sandwich panel.

- The PIR cored sandwich panels were subject to a period of fire exposure lasting at least 8 minutes and resulting in a period of sustained flame impingement directly above the fire and gas temperatures to a distance away from the fire sufficient to destroy plastic light fittings.
- The sandwich panels exposed to these conditions sustained damage in terms of removal of the paint coating together with distortion and delamination of the exposed steel skin of the panels away from the PIR core.
- There was no evidence of joints between panels opening up and no PIR core material had been exposed.
- There was no evidence of fire propagation within the panels.
- There were no reports from the attendant fire service relating to any measures needed or carried out in respect of the installed panels.



Evidence of direct flame impingment on soffit lining directly above the car.

### Contact Details

#### New Zealand

Kingspan Limited

97 Montreal Street | Christchurch 8023

T: 0800 12 12 80 or +64 (0) 3 260 5530

E: info@kingspanpanels.co.nz

www.kingspanpanels.co.nz

For the product offering in other markets please contact your local sales representative or visit www.kingspanpanels.com

Care has been taken to ensure that the contents of this publication are accurate, but Kingspan Limited and its subsidiary companies do not accept responsibility for errors or for information that is found to be misleading. Suggestions for, or description of, the end use or application of products or methods of working are for information only and Kingspan Limited and its subsidiaries accept no liability in respect thereof.

® Kingspan and the Lion Device are Registered Trademarks of the Kingspan Group plc in the UK, Ireland and other countries. All rights reserved.

